

1	James Dal Bon	
2	CA Bar #157942 Dal Bon and Wang 12 S. First Street #613	
3	San Jose, CA 95113 (408) 292-1040	
4	Attorney for PLAINTIFF	
5		
6	Alan M. Phillips, Esq. SBN 054320	
7	1000 Verde Rd P.O. Box 996 Half Moon Bay, CA 94019 (650) 366-8231	
	Attorney for Defendant BOB MONSEN d	ha CONSTRUCTION SERVICES
9	Accorney for berendant Bob Monsen d	DA CONSTRUCTION SERVICES
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16		Case No. C 07 03915 HRL
17	AURELIO MACIEL MATA	` JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT
18	Plaintiff, vs	CONFERENCE STATEMENT
19	EDWARD J. ULRICK dba ULRICO	Hearing Date: 11/13/2007
19 20	EDWARD J. ULRICK dba ULRICO SERVICES, BOB MONSEN dba	Hearing Date: 11/13/2007 Time: 1:30 p.m.
20		
20	SERVICES, BOB MONSEN dba	Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept: Ctrm 2, 5th Flr
20	SERVICES, BOB MONSEN dba CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, and	Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept: Ctrm 2, 5th Flr Hon. Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd
20 21 22	SERVICES, BOB MONSEN dba CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, and DAFOE COMPANY and DOES 1-10 Defendants.	Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept: Ctrm 2, 5th Flr Hon. Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd
20 21 22 23	SERVICES, BOB MONSEN dba CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, and DAFOE COMPANY and DOES 1-10 Defendants.	Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept: Ctrm 2, 5th Flr Hon. Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

The parties to the above-referenced action jointly submit this Case
Management Statement and Mediation Certification.

DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE

1. Jurisdiction and Service:

The Plaintiff claims United States District Court has jurisdiction under the Fair Labor and Standards Act. Co-defendants EDWARD J. ULRICK and DAFOE COMPANY have not been served..

2. Facts:

This is a wage and hour case. The plaintiff claims he worked for Defendants as a plasterer. The plaintiff asserts he worked over eight hours in one day and forty hours in one week and was not paid overtime. Defendant BOB MONSEN disputes that the plaintiff was his employee and defendant disputes the amount of overtime.

3. Legal Issues:

Whether Defendant is subject to liability under the FLSA; waiting time penalty, violations of California Labor Code and/or Business and Professions Code \$17200.

4. Motions:

Defendant and Plaintiff anticipate filing a summary judgment motion on all issues following limited discovery.

5. Amendment of Pleadings:

Plaintiff anticipates no further amendments to the complaint unless he discovers new parties to the case.

6. Evidence Preservation:

Plaintiff has preserved and will give Defendants copies of his evidence.

He will make copies and give defendants copies of any evidence in the file excluding copies of evidence withheld for impeachment purposes. Defendant BOB MONSEN will do likewise.

1	7. <u>Disclosures</u> :		
2	Parties have agreed to exchange disclosures in thirty days.		
3	8. <u>Discovery</u> :		
4	Thirty five special interrogatories per party; Unlimited requests for		
5	Admissions and Production of Documents. Parties limited to four non		
	expert depositions at ten hours apiece (eight hour limitation imposed by		
6	local rules raised to ten because some depositions may require an		
7	interpreter).		
8	9. <u>Class Actions</u> : None we are aware of.		
9	10. <u>Related Cases</u> : None we are aware of.		
10	11. Relief: Plaintiff is asking for lost overtime wages under state and		
11	federal law.		
12	12. <u>Settlement and ADR</u> : Both of these parties consent to mediation.		
13	13. Consent to Magistrate Judge For All Purposes: Plaintiff and Defendant		
14	consent to a magistrate judge.		
	14. Other References: None requested		
15	15. <u>Narrowing of Issues</u> : Summary Judgment will narrow issues.		
16	16. Expedited Schedule: None Requested		
17	17. <u>Scheduling</u> : See below		
18	18. <u>Trial</u> : These parties request a jury.		
19	19. Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons: None that		
20	Plaintiff and Defendant are aware of.		
21			
22	ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION		
	Parties met and conferred but cannot submit a stipulation selecting mediation (ADR L.R. 6)		
23	as an ADR process with this statement because all parties have not been served/appeared.		
24	<u>DISCLOSURES</u>		
25	Disclosures will be exchanged in thirty days.		

1 DISCOVERY Close of all discovery by May 31, 2008. Parties do not anticipate using 2 expert witnesses. However if that occurs Exchange of expert witnesses 3 June 31, 2008, Deposition of expert witnesses July 31, 2008. Last Day for 4 dispositive motions August 26, 2008. 5 6 TRIAL SCHEDULE 7 Three day trial sometime in or after September 2008 8 9 Respectfully submitted, Dal Bon & Wang 10 11 Dated: 11/6/2007 _s/jdb_ James Dal Bon 12 Attorney for Plaintiff 13 Respectfully submitted, 14 Dated: 11/6/2007 _s/amp_ 15 Alan M. Phillips Attorney for Defendant BOB MONSEN 16 17 CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER 18 The Case Management Statement and Proposed Order is hereby adopted by 19 the Court as the Case Management Order for the case and the parties are ordered to comply with this Order. In addition the Court orders: 20 21 22 23 Dated: Honorable Howard R. Lloyd 24 25

- 4 -